



## F.No.89-462/E-142305/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sant Jogendra Teachers Training College, Fatehpurakalan, Kotputli, Rajasthan dated 12/02/2019 is against the Minutes of 268<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NRC held from 19 to 21 April, 2017 (Part 3) of the Northern Regional Committee deciding to refuse grant of recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the reply of SCN issued by NRC to the institution has not been received within stipulated time."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Umesh Kumar Yadav, Secretary, Sant Jogendra Teachers Training College, Fatehpurakalan, Kotputli, Rajasthan presented the case of the 31/01/2020. appeal during appellant institution on In the and submitted that personal presentation it was "Appellant institution had filed a S.B. Civil Writ No. 651 of 2019 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan Bench at Jodhpur and the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19/01/2019 dismissed the petition with liberty to the petitioner to prefer an appeal against the impugned order."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that order dated 19/01/2019 made by Hon'ble High Court lays down that appellant is required to prefer appeal within 2 weeks which shall be considered by Appellate Authority on merits. In the instant case, online appeal was preferred on 12/02/2019 and hard copy of appeal was received on 21/11/2019. Appellant, therefore, is observed to have not preferred the appeal within 2 weeks of the Court order dated 19/01/2019.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, on perusal of the regulatory file observed that there is no refusal order issued as required under Section 14 or Section 15 of the NCTE Act and as such there can be no time limit prescribed for making a written representation against the impugned decision.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution in its letter dated 18/02/2019 and 04/10/2019 addressed to Regional Director, NRC had made efforts to submit clarifications on the points of deficiency raised in the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 02/03/2017. Though the clarifications submitted by appellant are found to be deficient and lacking on certain points, NRC ought to have issued a formal order of refusal under Section 14 of the NCTE Act after considering the submissions made by appellant.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, considering the submissions made by appellant decided to remand back the case to Western Regional Committee to revisit the matter. Documents available on record should be kept in view.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to Western Regional Committee to revisit the matter. Documents available on record should be kept in view.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sant Jogendra Teachers Training College, Fatehpurakalan, Kotputli, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

<sup>1.</sup> The Secretary, Sant Jogendra Teachers Training College, Fatehpurakalan, Kotputli – 303108, Rajasthan.

<sup>2.</sup> The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

<sup>3.</sup> Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

<sup>4.</sup> The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





### F.No.89-463/E-142474/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.P.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, Chandaus, Gabhana, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/11/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-7803/222<sup>nd</sup> Meeting/72652 dated 03.03.2014 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per decision of NRC, Show Cause Notice under clause 14/15 (3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993 was issued to the institution on ground of non-submission of reply of deficiency letter. The time of 30 days was given to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice. Time of 30 days has been expired but, the institution has not submitted the reply of Show Cause Notice. Therefore, NRC decided that the application be rejected under clause 14/15 (3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993 on ground that the institution has not submitted reply of deficiency letter and Show Cause Notice issued by NRC to the institution and FDRs, if any, submitted by the institution be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Nirbhaya Singh, Director, R.P.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, Chandaus, Gabhana, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus (Aligarh) is the composition having all Grampanchayats as its members as per the list enclosed. The Grampanchayat, Chandaus is listed at sr. no. 57, that is also a member of Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus. Till 29.03.2017 Grampanchayat, Chandaus, Aligarh had the authority to approve the building plan of buildings proposed to be constructed in its territory but on 30.03.2017 the said authority has been transferred to the Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus, as per it's resolution dated 30.03.2017. In the year 2012 the building plan of the concerned building was approved by the Grampanchayat, Chandaus, Aligarh as per its approval letter as enclosed. How the said building plan has been re-approved by

Khetrapanchayat, Chandaus, Aligarh (U.P.) as per its letter dated 05.11.2019 as the same is enclosed herewith as enclosure no. The demarcated site plan showing the plot no's of the land reserved for the proposed course as per the N.C.T.E. Regulation is enclosed. The certified copy of land used certificate issued by "Sub-Divisional Magistrate", Gabhana, Aligarh under section 143 of U.P.L.R.A. Act is enclosed. The land document of the reserved land submitted by the proposed institute which belongs to survey no. - 423 GA, 424 GA and 712 of the Village Chandaus clearly matches with the building document submitted by the proposed institute as the said building is constructed in the same survey no's-423 GA, 424 GA and 712. The affidavit in the prescribed format on the Rs. 100/- stamp paper is enclosed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned rejection order dated 03/03/2014 was issued intimating the appellant institution that if the institution is not satisfied with the order, it may prefer appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993. The time limit for preferring appeal was 60 days from the date of issue of the order.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had not only failed to prefer appeal within stipulated period of 60 days, it had delayed preferring appeal for more than 5 years and 6 months over and above the prescribed time limit. The reason given by appellant for this long and inordinate delay is 'Mother's illness' which is not convincing. Appeal Committee further noticed that application seeking recognition was made by appellant institution on 31/12/2012 under the NCTE Regulations of 2009 and a deficiency letter was issued to appellant institution on 12/07/2013 followed by a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 21/11/2013. Appellant institution had not replied to these communications and now NCTE Regulations, 2009 have been replaced by a new set of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided that the delay of more than 5 years and 6 months in preferring appeal is not condonable. Appeal dated 08/11/2019 made by appellant institution, is therefore, not admitted.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded not to condone the delay in submission of the appeal. Hence the appeal is not admitted.

- 1. The Director, R.P.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, Chandaus, Pisawa Road, Gabhana, Aligarh 202132, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





# F.No.89-464/E-142473/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of G.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, Chandaus, Gabhana, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/11/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-7779/222nd Meeting/83048 dated 17.01.2015 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per decision of NRC, Show Cause Notice under clause 14/15 (3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993 was issued to the following institutions on ground of non-submission of reply of deficiency letter. The time of 30 days was given to submit the reply of the Show Cause Notice. Time of 30 days has been expired but, the following institution have not submitted the reply of Show Cause Notice. Therefore, NRC decided that the application be rejected under clause 14/15 (3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993 on ground that the institution has not submitted reply of deficiency letter and Show Cause Notice issued by NRC to the institution and FDRs, if any, submitted by the institution be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Nirbhaya Singh, Director, G.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, Chandaus, Gabhana, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus(Aligarh) Is The Composition Having All Grampanchayats The Gram Panchayat, Chandaus is listed at Sr. No. 57. The Authority to approve the Building Plan of buildings proposed to be constructed in its territory but on 30.03.2017 the said authority has been transferred to Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus, As Per It's Resolution dated 30.03.2017 As. In the Year 2012 The Building Plan of the concerned building was approved by the Gram Panchayat, Chandaus, Aligarh. Now the Said Building Plan Has Been re-approved By Khetra Panchayat, Chandaus, Aligarh (U.P) As Per Its Letter Dated 05.11.2019. The Certified copy of Land Used Certificate Issued by "Sub Divisional Magistrate", Gabhana, Aligarh under Section

143 of U.P.L.R.A. Act is enclosed. The demarcated site plan showing the plot no's of the land reserved for the proposed course as per the N.C.T.E. Regulation is enclosed Registration Of The Company along with By Laws its "Article of Association" and "Memorandum of Association" has already been provided. The Land Document of the reserved land submitted by the Proposed Institute which belongs to Survey No.-423 GA, 424 GA and 712 of the village Chandaus clearly matches with the Building Document submitted by the Proposed Institute as the said Building is constructed in the same Survey No's-423 GA, 424 GA and 712."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned rejection order dated 17/01/2015 was issued intimating the appellant institution that if the institution is not satisfied with the order, it may prefer appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993. The time limit for preferring appeal was 60 days from the date of issue of the order.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had not only failed to prefer appeal within stipulated period of 60 days, it had delayed preferring appeal for more than 4 years and 8 months over and above the prescribed time limit. The reason given by appellant for this long and inordinate delay is 'Mother's illness' which is not convincing. Appeal Committee further noticed that application seeking recognition was made by appellant institution on 31/12/2012 under the NCTE Regulations of 2009 and a deficiency letter was issued to appellant institution on 03/07/2013 followed by a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 21/11/2013. Appellant institution had not replied to these communications and now NCTE Regulations, 2009 have been replaced by a new set of Regulations, 2014. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided that the delay of more than 4 years and 8 months in preferring appeal is not condonable. Appeal dated 08/11/2019 made by appellant institution, is therefore, not admitted.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing,

the Committee concluded not to condone the delay in submission of the appeal. Hence the appeal is not admitted.

- 1. The Director, G.S. Institute for Training of Trainers, 641, Chandaus, Pisawa Road, Gabhana, Aligarh 202132, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





# F.No.89-465/E-142477/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Thokchom Ibotombi Institute of Teacher Education and Training, Khoijuman Lambi, Bishnupur, Manipur dated 15/11/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.3/MP-S/N-3/2002-Appeal/2003/B.Ed./2019/61444 dated 28.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, reducing intake from 100 to 50 seats for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1st show cause notice u/s 17(1) issued on 10.05.2018, followed by final show cause notice dated 18.05.2019 and the institution is still deficient on the following grounds:- Qualified faculty comprises 1+11 instead of 1+15 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 for running 100 intake of B.Ed. course. Faculties at sl. No.12, 13, 14 & 15 appointed after 09.06.2017 for Pedagogy subjects do not have NET/Ph.D. qualification as per Gazette Notification No.237 of NCTE published on 09.06.2017. The intake for B.Ed. course be reduced from 100 to 50 from the academic session 2020-2021 with intimation to the institution and all concerned."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Leishangthem Bishwajit Singh, Assistant Professor and Sh. Shaikhom Tombi Singh, Principal, Thokchom Ibotombi Institute of Teacher Education and Training, Khoijuman Lambi, Bishnupur, Manipur presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that 4 number of faculties appointed were after 09/06/2017 and did not have NET/Ph.D. We have now replaced faculty which is qualified."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 28/08/2019 reducing the intake from 100 seats to 50 seats for conducting B.Ed. course was on the ground that appellant institution had 1 + 11 qualified faculty instead of the required number of 1 + 15. The deficiency of 4 faculty which had occurred due to non-possession of NET/Ph.D. in respect of four faculty has been rectified by appellant

institution by clarifying to the Registrar, Manipur University that faculty at serial no. 12 to 15 are either Ph.D. or NET qualified and fresh appointment orders dated 24/10/2019 were issued to Anoop Kr. Singh, Sh. Chandan Khan, Dr. Rajiv Srivastava and Dr. Amesh Kumar. Appeal Committee considering the submissions made by appellant, decided that appellant institution is required to submit to ERC, within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders, a complete list of faculty containing the names and qualifications of faculty duly approved by the affiliating university. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter on receipt of the fresh list containing the names of 1 + 15 faculty duly qualified as per NCTE Regulation as amended in June, 2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter on receipt of the fresh list containing the names of 1 + 15 faculty duly qualified as per NCTE Regulation as amended in June, 2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Thokchom Ibotombi Institute of Teacher Education and Training, Khoijuman Lambi, Bishnupur, Manipur to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Thokchom Ibotombi Institute of Teacher Education and Training, Bishnupur, Khoijuman Lambi, Bishnupur, Manipur – 795126.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Manipur, Imphal.





## F.No.89-467/E-143070/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shiv Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Semarpura, Pidora, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh dated 16/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APP2438/223/B.Ed./310<sup>th</sup>/2019/205982 to 205989 dated 04.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "and whereas, the matter was placed in 310<sup>th</sup> Meeting of WRC held on August 19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019 and the Committee decided that "...The Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 02.02.2018. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ankesh Choudhary, Representative, Shiv Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Semarpura, Pidora, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution did not receive any show cause notice as stated "In the withdrawal order. The institution would have submitted the reply had it received the alleged show cause notice. The institution has time and again complied with all the show cause notices issued to it and replied in a timely manner. The institution herein fulfils all the norms and standards as envisaged under the NCTE Act and Regulations. There was no occasion for the institution not to reply to the alleged show cause as it had all the documents as per the requirement."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that recognition for conducting B.Ed. course with an intake of 100 seats was granted to appellant institution by an order dated 24/12/2014 issued by NCTE. Subsequently a revised recognition order was issued on 26/05/2015 under the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Further in reply to a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28/02/2017, appellant institution requested WRC to reduce the intake from 100 seats to 50 seats and WRC issued a second S.C.N. dated 02/02/2018 intimating the appellant institution that its request for reducing the intake will be considered as soon as qualified staff 1 + 7 approved by Competent Authority is received. Appellant denied having received this S.C.N.

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing and with its appeal memoranda submitted (i) list of faculty for session 2018-19 approved by affiliating body, (ii) approval letter of University dated 11/11/2019, (iii) Building Completion Certificate, (iv) NEC (without the date of issue) and (v) C.L.U. in respect of land bearing Khasra Number 249/1, 249/2 issued in August, 2006.

AND WHEREAS keeping in view the submissions made by appellant, Appeal Committee decided that appellant is required to submit to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order (i) original staff profile approved by affiliating university (ii) originally notarised CLU, (iii) NEC, (iv) Building Plan, (v) Building Completion Certificate. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on receipt of required documents from the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on receipt of required documents from the appellant institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shiv Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Semarpura, Pidora, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Shiv Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Semarpura, 249/1, Pidora, Pawai Mod, Bhind 477001, Madhya Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.





## F.No.89-468/E-143065/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Raghuram Mahavidhyalaya, Kheragarh, Kagarol, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/01/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15349/289<sup>th</sup>/2018/197989-94 dated 23.10.2018 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of SCN dt. 09.02.2018. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Indrapal Singh, Secretary, Raghuram Mahavidhyalaya, Kheragarh, Kagarol, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The appellant had applied to the Regional committee under section 14/15 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act 1993 and NCTE Regulation 2014 for grant of recognition to M.Ed. course. 2. The Regional Committee NRC NCTE refused the grant Recognition in its 289th meeting held on from 8th to 9th October 2013 and displayed the decision on its website. The Regional Committee erred in deciding the matter as neither any show cause notice dated 09.02.2018 as mentioned in the impugned order dated 23/10/2018 was received to applicant institution nor any information either through online or information in any manner or on email ID was sent by Regional Director to Applicant institution. As such, without any show cause notice to applicant, the order issued refusing application of the applicant for permission recognition, is all together arbitrary illegal and against the rule. Once the show cause notice as mentioned in the

impugned order itself has not been received to applicant through any manner either email or through message or through net or online or by written so there was no occasion to give reply the show cause notice. As such, the observation made in impugned order that the reply has not been submitted against the show cause notice issued dated 09/02/2018, is illegal and arbitrary."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (L.O.I) dated 30/04/2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance on various points within a period of 2 months. Appellant institution did not submit any reply to the L.O.I. which resulted in issue of a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 09/02/2018 and appellant was required to submit its written representation within 30 days. Appellant institution again failed to submit its reply to S.C.N. which finally resulted in issue of impugned refusal order dated 23/10/2018. Last para of the impugned order laid down that in case appellant institution is not satisfied with the order, it may prefer appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act within a period of 60 days.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant had failed to respond to the L.O.I. dated 30/04/2017, S.C.N. dated 09/02/2018. Even on the date of appeal hearing i.e. 31/01/2020 appellant could not show any evidence of having complied to the terms and conditions required to be complied as per L.O.I. dated 30/04/2017. Appellant had also not sought any extension of time for complying to the requirements mentioned in the L.O.I. Appeal Committee, therefore, does not find any merit in the submissions made by appellant and decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 23/10/2018 issued by NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 23/10/2018 issued by NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

- 1. The Secretary, Raghuram Mahavidhyalaya, Kagarol, Kheragarh, Kagarol 05613, Uttar Pradesh
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





## F.No.89-469/E-143262/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Subhash B.Ed. College, Thakkarbapanagar, India Colony, Ahmedabad, Gujarat dated 06/07/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/323251/159<sup>th</sup>/2012/89085 dated 16.04.2012 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "and whereas, the WRC considered the observations of the VT and found that the VT had observed that the college did not have any classrooms but only two halls. The halls which are supposed to be multipurpose halls are small, being only 800 sq. mts. The VT also found that furniture in the rooms as well as in the hall is inappropriate, the building is in a residential public area, which is not appropriate, the labs do not have the required tools and equipment's. The teachers are paid in cash, the library is ill-managed, and books are in short supply, and accession registers etc. are not maintained. In short, the building location and infrastructure are well below the required level. In the light of the VT observations, the previous order of the WRC stands as it is. Now therefore, WRC's earlier order of withdrawal of recognition for B.Ed. course dt. 30.09.2011 stands confirmed."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ramesh V. Prajapati, Trustee, Subhash B.Ed. College, Thakkarbapanagar, India Colony, Ahmedabad, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that staff list was provided by the institution. Hence the NCTE Committee did not approve the staff list."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the present appeal dated 06/07/2019 made by Subash B.Ed. College, Ahmedabad is not acceptable for the following reasons:-

- (i) Impugned withdrawal order was issued more than 7 years back.
- (ii) Appellant had already preferred an appeal dated 04/06/2012 against the impugned order, which was rejected, and impugned order was confirmed by an Appellate order no. 89-292/2012 Appeal/9<sup>th</sup> Meeting 2012 dated 09/10/2012.
- (iii) There is no provision in NCTE Act and Appeal Rules to reconsider an appeal matter which is already decided.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided not to accept the repeated appeal dated 06/07/2019 preferred by appellant.

- 1. The Director, Subhash B.Ed. College, 1424, Thakkarbapanagar, India Colony, Ahmedabad 382350, Gujarat.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.





## F.No.89-470/E-143263/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Taxshila B.Ed. College (Shree Nilkanth Education & Social Welfare Trust), Bayad, Sabar Kantha, Himmatnagar, Bayad, Gujarat dated 01/07/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00859/323192/165th/2012/93385, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92 dated 26.07.2012 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "no reply has been received from the institution till date and it did not shift to own premises even expiry of three period."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ramesh V. Prajapati, Trustee, Taxshila B.Ed. College (Shree Nilkanth Education & Social Welfare Trust), Bayad, Sabar Kantha, Himmatnagar, Bayad, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "NCTE office didn't inform to the college that's a reason we are unable to reply the withdrawal notice."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 26/07/2012 was issued on the ground that appellant institution did not reply to the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 23/01/2012. The S.C.N. was on grounds of non shifting of premises from rental to own within 3 years. The impugned order of withdrawal dated 26/07/2012 also mentioned that if the appellant institution is not satisfied with the order, it may prefer appeal within 60 days.

AND WHEREAS from the regulatory file it is observed that appellant did not prefer any appeal till July, 2019 and as such the present appeal is delayed by more than 6 years and 9 months. It is further observed from the regulatory file that appellant by its letter dated 25/10/2018 submitted a request to WRC to <u>restart</u> the programme i.e. B.Ed. course. It is, therefore, evident that course had remained discontinued for several years

and the appeal preferred is also delayed for a very long period. In between these years NCTE Regulations, 2014 had also come into force changing the Norms and Standards for conducting B.Ed. course. For seeking recognition, appellant institution may therefore, apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting fresh applications. The present appeal made by appellant is devoid of any merit and also delayed. Appeal Committee concluded not to admit the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded not to admit the appeal. Hence the appeal is not admitted.

- 1. The Director, Taxshila B.Ed. College (Shree Nilkanth Education & Social Welfare Trust), Bayad, Sabar Kantha, Himmatnagar, Bayad 383325, Gujarat.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.





## F.No.89-471/E-143294/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Yuva Vyavsaik Sikshan Mahavidyalaya, Ratikheda (Ashok Nagar), Gram Maroop, Guna Road, Guna, Madhya Pradesh dated 14/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW03639/223482/B.Ed./310<sup>th</sup>/2019/205895 dated 04.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 15.02.2017 and reply received on 21.03.2017. The summary of the case reveals that the reply was not submitted within the stipulated time of 30 days as per the Show Cause Notice. Moreover, the staff profile for approved intake is not submitted in original. Also, staff at Sr. 3,5,6,10,12,14 & 15 are with less than 55% of P.G. Level. FDR not submitted in original Building Completion Certificate; CLU & NEC are also not submitted. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Dharmendra Raghuvanshi, Director and Sh. Vijay, Representative, Yuva Vyavsaik Sikshan Mahavidyalaya, Ratikheda (Ashok Nagar), Gram Maroop, Guna Road, Guna, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Institution had submitted the approved staff list as per NCTE Norms by Jiwaji University Gwalior. Their letter clearly shows that institute had adequate staff as per NCTE Norms. The institute has submitted the Building Completion Certificate BCC in NCTE prescribed format by competent authority. The institute has submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate NEC issued by competent authority. The institute has already submitted original FDRs towards reserve and endowment fund."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant during appeal hearing on 31/01/2020 has submitted copies of (i) Approval letter dated 20/12/2019 issued by Jiwaji University, Gwalior, (ii) List of faculty (iii) Building Plan, (iv) NEC dated 15/09/2019, (v) CLU, (vi) B.C.C. and (vii) FDRs. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit originals of approval letter, faculty list and FDRs and originally certified copies N.E.C., C.L.U, B.C.C. etc. to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after verifying the authenticity of the documents submitted and issue appropriate orders afresh.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after verifying the authenticity of the documents submitted and issue appropriate orders afresh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Yuva Vyavsaik Sikshan Mahavidyalaya, Ratikheda (Ashok Nagar), Gram Maroop, Guna Road, Guna, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

<sup>1.</sup> The Manager, Yuva Vyavsaik Sikshan Mahavidyalaya, Maroop, 491/1/2, Ratikheda (Ashok Nagar), Gram Maroop, Guna Road, Guna – 473331, Madhya Pradesh.

<sup>2.</sup> The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

<sup>3.</sup> Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

<sup>4.</sup> The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.





### F.No.89-472/E-143562/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Deben Mahato Teachers Training Institute, Deoli, Paharigora, Chandankiyari Road, Para Purulia, West Bengal dated 10/09/2019 is against the Order No. ERC/NCTE/APE00787/B.Ed.(Revised order)2015/32035 dated 20.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution is still deficient on the following grounds:- FDR towards Endowment and Reserve Fund in single mode. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Jagadish Mahato, Principal In-charge and Sudipta Mahato, Representative, Deben Mahato Teachers Training Institute, Deoli, Paharigora, Chandankiyari Road, Para Purulia, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Inspite of trying our best we were unable to create the FDR in joint mode. As SBI Bank unable to do the FDR in joint mode (as per SBI Rule) with Regional Director without SBI account of the other account holder (ERC-NCTE)."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that only ground for withdrawal of recognition by an order dated 20/08/2019 is that FDRs submitted by appellant institution were in single mode. Appellant informed ERC that State Bank of India by its letter dated 31/07/2019 had refused to convert the FDRs in joint mode unless the second party opens a valid account in that bank. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing submitted copies of new FDRs obtained in joint mode in the Bank of Baroda in November, 2019.

AND WHEREAS since the FDRs have been obtained and submitted to ERC by the appellant, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order of withdrawal dated 20/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned order of withdrawal dated 20/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Deben Mahato Teachers Training Institute, Deoli, Paharigora, Chandankiyari Road, Para Purulia, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Secretary, Deben Mahato Teachers Training Institute, 3144, 3145, Deoli, Paharigora, Chandankiyari Road, Para Purulia 723146, West Bengal.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.





## F.No.89-473/E-143722/2019 Appeal/3rd Mtg.-2020/31th January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.B.T. College of Education, Khambalpada, Kalyan, Thane. Maharashtra dated 22/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW03365/123397/311<sup>th</sup>/2019/206869 dated 14.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 10.03.2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply or the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Pradeep Tiwari, Member of Management, R.B.T. College of Education, Khambalpada, Kalyan, Thane, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Show cause notice dated 10.03.2017 was not received by the institution otherwise the institution would have submitted (i) the approved staff profile for the session 2015-16 (kindly see three letters of approval of principal and 12 Assistant Professor by University of Mumbai A/2) (ii) the land use certificate for 2520 sq. mt. land (kindly see the land use certificate, a/3) (iii) the non-encumbrance certificate (kindly see the non-encumbrance certificate (kindly see the non-encumbrance certificate, a/4) (iv) the approved building plan for built up area of 1770 sq. mt. (kindly see the copy of permission and the approved building plan, A/5 and (v) the building completion certificate (kindly see building completion certificate, A/6) which were already with the institution on the date of alleged show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant that the institution had not received the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 10/03/2017 and hence no reply could be submitted. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution was required to comply with the Terms and Conditions which were enlisted in the Revised order of recognition dated 31/05/2015 as Norms and Standards for conducting B.Ed. course had undergone a change with the NCTE Regulation 2014 coming into force in November, 2014. Appellant institution never submitted/reported compliance of the new requirement and for which a S.C.N. was issued on 10/03/2017. The compliance required to be made as per terms and conditions of revised recognition order were enlisted in the S.C.N. dated 10/03/2017. The impugned withdrawal order dated 14/10/2019 was also on the same grounds after granting an opportunity to appellant to submit written representation.

AND WHEREAS appellant in its appeal memoranda had submitted copies of (i) approved staff profile for 10 Assistant Professors, (ii) C.L.U., (iii) N.E.C., (iv) Building Plan and (v) Building Completion Certificate. Appeal Committee noted that whereas the requirement of faculty as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 is one Principal and 15 faculty, the appellant institution has only 10 faculty and particulars of faculty have not been submitted in the performa prescribed by NCTE. Appellant further has not made any request for reduction in annual intake which could have enabled it conduct the course with an intake of 1 unit (50 seats).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution is still deficient as it has not appointed required number of faculty including one Principal. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 14/10/2019 issued by WRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 14/10/2019 issued by WRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

- 1. The Principal, R.B.T. College of Education, 78/3, Khambalpada, Kalyan, Thane 421201, Maharashtra.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.





### F.No.89-475/E-143940/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of U.S.P. College of Education, U.S.P. Nagar, Kodikurichi, Tenkasi to Ayikudi Road, Kadayanalur, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu dated 25/11/2019 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO3584/B.Ed./2019-20/09979-9984 dated 26.09.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the original files of the institution along with other related documents, NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations Guidelines issued by NCTE from time to time and documents furnished by the insitutiton were carefully considered by the SRC and the following observations were made:- The building plan submitted by the Institution at the time of recognition was of U.S.P School building and on the same it was overwritten as USP B.Ed. College which is a fraud. Actually, the building plan is approved for UPS School. The same is erased and written as USP B.Ed. College. As the document is fabricated a such it is fraud committed by the institution. The land documents submitted by the institution is in favour of Mr. Selvaraju (Individual), therefore the same is not as per NCTE norms. The faculty namely Mr. V. Murugan, Mr. R. Murugesan and Miss M. Vanitha does not possess the qualifications laid down in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition granted to U.S.P. College of Education, Kodikurichi-Tenkasi Road, Kodikurichi Village, Tenkasi Taluk, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu for conducting B.Ed. course w.e.f. the next academic session under clause 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 on the grounds mentioned above."

AND WHEREAS Sh. U. Selvaraj, Secretary and Sh. T. Manikandan, Administrative Officer, U.S.P. College of Education, U.S.P. Nagar, Kodikurichi, Tenkasi to Ayikudi Road, Kadayanalur, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it

was submitted that "Building plan of USP School was wrongly submitted at the time of recognition, but we have not overwritten in that plan. We are ready to produce the original plan of USP B.Ed. College. The land allotted for USP College of Education was in lease for 29 years (up to year 2041) in favour of the institute. We have appointed the qualified faculty as per NCTE Regulations. We are ready to produce their qualification certificates.

AND WHEREAS on perusal of the documents available on regulatory file, Appeal Committee noticed that appellant was granted recognition in the year 2007 after the Visiting Team had conducted inspection of the appellant institution on 29/03/2007. The Chairman of the Trust in its affidavit dated 29/03/2007 mentioned that the land is measuring 8 acres, 59 cents with a built up area of 12000 sq. feet with Survey Nos. 88/1, 88/2, 89 and 90. Many copies of building plan are found available on the regulatory file and one of these contains certain erasing and overwriting. This building plan is for building at Survey No. 73 B, 74, 75, 76/1, 76/2. The building plan enclosed with the Visiting Team report is for building at Survey No. 88/1, 88/2. 89 and 90 and it does not contain any erasing or overwriting. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution had remitted a D/D of Rs. 1, 50,000/- towards inspection fee to SRC for which a receipt no. 19679 dated 08/09/2016 was also issued by SRC. Appeal Committee has also come across a letter dated 13/08/2016 submitted by appellant institution addressed to SRC stating that the existing building is having all infrastructure and facilities and the college need not change the building from old to new. Further the a Visiting Team report dated 13/08/2016 did not indicate any deficiency in the land and built up area and stated that B.Ed. college is functioning in the same building since approval.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted reply dated 29/07/2019 to the final Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 16/07/2019. As regards qualifications of S/Sh. V. Muruyan, M.R. Murugesan and Miss M. Vanitha, appellant stated that they have appointed qualified faculty in replacement of the above faculty. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised for conducting B.Ed. course since 2007 and since then NCTE Regulations have been revised twice i.e.

in 2009 and 2014. More importantly appellant institution is required to submit evidence that it has adequate built up area and right number of faculty qualified as per NCTE norms and approved by the affiliating university. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC, a B.C.C. indicating the area earmarked for conducting B.Ed. course and list of faculty in prescribed performa duly approved by the affiliating body within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter by taking a holistic view of the case.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter by taking a holistic view of the case.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of U.S.P. College of Education, U.S.P. Nagar, Kodikurichi, Tenkasi to Ayikudi Road, Kadayanalur, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education &

<sup>1.</sup> The Secretary, U.S.P. College of Education, U.S.P. Nagar, 88/2, Kodikurichi, Tenkasi to Ayikudi Road, Kadayanalur, Tirunelveli – 627804, Tamil Nadu.

Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

<sup>4.</sup> The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.





# F.No.89-477/E-144337/2019 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Mtg.-2020/31<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 02/03/2020

### ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sadguru Swami Vidyanand Bahuuddeshiya and Shiksha, Village - Chale, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra dated 15/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW02801/123362/311th/2019/206642 dated 10.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 10.03.2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Sh. B. Suresh Baliram, Administrative Officer, Sadguru Swami Vidyanand Bahuuddeshiya and Shiksha, Village - Chale, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that show cause notice were not received. A letter regarding one division of 50 student was sent on 1st March, 2018 and now were responding to that Show Cause Notice.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution after the grant of revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 for 2 units of B.Ed. course, had submitted a letter dated 16/03/2016 to WRC requesting that institution intends to conduct the course with an intake of 50 seats (1 unit) only. Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 10/03/2017 was issued to appellant institution without taking any cognizance of the request made by appellant institution. Appellant institution further reminded WRC requesting to reduce the intake from 100 to 50.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised for conducting B.Ed. course since 2006, and had WRC responded to its request for reduction in intake, was required to submit a list of faculty corresponding to the reduced intake. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for first deciding on the request made by appellant institution on 16/03/2016. Appellant institution is also required to submit to WRC a consolidated list of faculty in prescribed format duly approved by the affiliating university and originally certified copies of land documents i.e. C.L.U., N.E.C., Building Plan, B.C.C.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for first deciding on the request made by appellant institution on 16/03/2016. Appellant institution is also required to submit to WRC a consolidated list of faculty in prescribed format duly approved by the affiliating university and originally certified copies of land documents i.e. C.L.U., N.E.C., Building Plan, B.C.C.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sadguru Swami Vidyanand Bahuuddeshiya and Shiksha, Village - Chale, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

<sup>1.</sup> The Trustee, Sadguru Swami Vidyanand Bahuuddeshiya and Shiksha, 174, Village - Chale, Pandharpur Road, Pandharpur, Sholapur – 413304, Maharashtra.

<sup>3.</sup> Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

<sup>4.</sup> The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.